

## Sample Critique of a Blog Entry

(outline only)

Blog entry critiqued: <https://www.itworldcanada.com/blog/wanted-defenders-of-the-public-interest/86095>

### Evaluation according to rubric for a blog entry:

- **Intellectual Engagement with Key Theme-Related Concepts:** Demonstrates engagement with the important issues raised through readings and/or class activities
  - *Does engage with important issues from class: what does it mean to be a professional?*
- **Personal Response to Key Theme-Related Concepts:** Extensive evidence of a personal response to the issues raised in the readings/activities, and demonstrates growth
  - *Does provide evidence of a personal response, by using personal examples*
- **Engaged Writing for the Web:** Shows a good command of Standard English. No problems for audience. Blog entry includes links and uses recommended style
  - *Well-written, style for links seems okay, but it could be better-written for the web (more scannable, use headings within post, and so forth) and some links' text could be more descriptive*
- **Critical Evaluation of Online Sources:** Few questions answered
  - *Use of Wikipedia as a source is discouraged*
  - *More details of the article by BloomBecker should be provided in plain text*

### Critique:

- *There is a lot of talk about public interest but not much to seriously define it (except for the anecdote about what it is not). This is a big issue that needs more consideration*
- *European Union's Directive 2005/36/EC is not linked*
- *More clear connection between "public interest" and CS/IT professional could be made – the author would have some space, there are about 200-300 words to spare*