

Chapter 8

Statement-Level Control Structures

Chapter 8 Topics

- Introduction
- Selection Statements
- Iterative Statements
- Unconditional Branching
- Guarded Commands
- Conclusions

Levels of Control Flow

- Within expressions (Chapter 7)
- Among program units (Chapter 9)
- Among program statements (this chapter)

Control Statements: Evolution

- FORTRAN I control statements were based directly on IBM 704 hardware
- Much research and argument in the 1960s about the issue
 - One important result: It was proven that all algorithms represented by flowcharts can be coded with only two-way selection and pretest logical loops

Control Structure

- A *control structure* is a control statement and the statements whose execution it controls
- Design question
 - Should a control structure have multiple entries?

Selection Statements

- A *selection statement* provides the means of choosing between two or more paths of execution
- Two general categories:
 - Two-way selectors
 - Multiple-way selectors

Two-Way Selection Statements

- General form:

```
if control_expression  
    then clause  
    else clause
```

- Design Issues:

- What is the form and type of the control expression?
- How are the **then** and **else** clauses specified?
- How should the meaning of nested selectors be specified?

The Control Expression

- If the then reserved word or some other syntactic marker is not used to introduce the then clause, the control expression is placed in parentheses
- In C89, C99, Python, and C++, the control expression can be arithmetic
- In languages such as Ada, Java, Ruby, and C#, the control expression must be Boolean

Clause Form

- In many contemporary languages, the then and else clauses can be single statements or compound statements
- In Perl, all clauses must be delimited by braces (they must be compound)
- In Fortran 95, Ada, and Ruby, clauses are statement sequences
- Python uses indentation to define clauses

```
if x > y :  
    x = y  
    print "case 1"
```

Nesting Selectors

- Java example

```
if (sum == 0)
    if (count == 0)
        result = 0;
    else result = 1;
```

- Which `if` gets the `else`?
- Java's static semantics rule: `else` matches with the nearest `if`

Nesting Selectors (continued)

- To force an alternative semantics, compound statements may be used:

```
if (sum == 0) {  
    if (count == 0)  
        result = 0;  
}  
else result = 1;
```

- The above solution is used in C, C++, and C#
- Perl requires that all then and else clauses to be compound

Nesting Selectors (continued)

- Statement sequences as clauses: Ruby

```
if sum == 0 then
  if count == 0 then
    result = 0
  else
    result = 1
  end
end
```

Nesting Selectors (continued)

- Python

```
if sum == 0 :  
    if count == 0 :  
        result = 0  
    else :  
        result = 1
```

Multiple-Way Selection Statements

- Allow the selection of one of any number of statements or statement groups
- Design Issues:
 1. What is the form and type of the control expression?
 2. How are the selectable segments specified?
 3. Is execution flow through the structure restricted to include just a single selectable segment?
 4. How are case values specified?
 5. What is done about unrepresented expression values?

Multiple-Way Selection: Examples

- C, C++, and Java

```
switch (expression) {  
    case const_expr_1: stmt_1;  
    ...  
    case const_expr_n: stmt_n;  
    [default: stmt_n+1]  
}
```

Multiple-Way Selection: Examples

- Design choices for C's **switch** statement
 1. Control expression can be only an integer type
 2. Selectable segments can be statement sequences, blocks, or compound statements
 3. Any number of segments can be executed in one execution of the construct (there is no implicit branch at the end of selectable segments)
 4. **default** clause is for unrepresented values (if there is no **default**, the whole statement does nothing)

Multiple-Way Selection: Examples

- C#
 - Differs from C in that it has a static semantics rule that disallows the implicit execution of more than one segment
 - Each selectable segment must end with an unconditional branch (`goto` or `break`)
 - Also, in C# the control expression and the case constants can be strings

Multiple-Way Selection: Examples

- Ada

```
case expression is
    when choice list => stmt_sequence;
    ...
    when choice list => stmt_sequence;
    when others => stmt_sequence;]
end case;
```

- More reliable than C's `switch` (once a `stmt_sequence` execution is completed, control is passed to the first statement after the `case` statement)

Multiple-Way Selection: Examples

- Ada design choices:
 1. Expression can be any ordinal type
 2. Segments can be single or compound
 3. Only one segment can be executed per execution of the construct
 4. Unrepresented values are not allowed
- Constant List Forms:
 1. A list of constants
 2. Can include:
 - Subranges
 - Boolean OR operators (|)

Multiple-Way Selection: Examples

- Ruby has two forms of case statements
 1. One form uses when conditions

```
leap = case
  when year % 400 == 0 then true
  when year % 100 == 0 then false
  else year % 4 == 0
end
```

2. The other uses a case value and when values

```
case in_val
when -1 then neg_count++
when 0 then zero_count++
when 1 then pos_count++
else puts "Error - in_val is out of range"
end
```

Multiple-Way Selection Using `if`

- Multiple Selectors can appear as direct extensions to two-way selectors, using `else-if` clauses, for example in Python:

```
if count < 10 :  
    bag1 = True  
elif count < 100 :  
    bag2 = True  
elif count < 1000 :  
    bag3 = True
```

Multiple-Way Selection Using `if`

- The Python example can be written as a **Ruby** `case`

`case`

`when count < 10 then bag1 = true`

`when count < 100 then bag2 = true`

`when count < 1000 then bag3 = true`

`end`

Iterative Statements

- The repeated execution of a statement or compound statement is accomplished either by iteration or recursion
- General design issues for iteration control statements:
 1. How is iteration controlled?
 2. Where is the control mechanism in the loop?

Counter–Controlled Loops

- A counting iterative statement has a loop variable, and a means of specifying the *initial* and *terminal*, and *stepsize* values
- Design Issues:
 1. What are the type and scope of the loop variable?
 2. Should it be legal for the loop variable or loop parameters to be changed in the loop body, and if so, does the change affect loop control?
 3. Should the loop parameters be evaluated only once, or once for every iteration?

Iterative Statements: Examples

- FORTRAN 95 syntax

`DO label var = start, finish [, stepsize]`

- Stepsize can be any value but zero
- Parameters can be expressions
- Design choices:
 1. Loop variable must be **INTEGER**
 2. The loop variable cannot be changed in the loop, but the parameters can; because they are evaluated only once, it does not affect loop control
 3. Loop parameters are evaluated only once

Iterative Statements: Examples

- **FORTRAN 95 : a second form:**

```
[name:] Do variable = initial, terminal [,stepsize]  
...  
End Do [name]
```

- Cannot branch into either of Fortran's `Do` statements

Iterative Statements: Examples

- Ada

```
for var in [reverse] discrete_range loop
...
end loop
```

- Design choices:

- Type of the loop variable is that of the discrete range (A discrete range is a sub-range of an integer or enumeration type).
 - Loop variable does not exist outside the loop
 - The loop variable cannot be changed in the loop, but the discrete range can; it does not affect loop control
 - The discrete range is evaluated just once
- Cannot branch into the loop body

Iterative Statements: Examples

- C-based languages

- `for` ([expr_1] ; [expr_2] ; [expr_3]) statement

- The expressions can be whole statements, or even statement sequences, with the statements separated by commas

- The value of a multiple-statement expression is the value of the last statement in the expression

- If the second expression is absent, it is an infinite loop

- Design choices:

- There is no explicit loop variable

- Everything can be changed in the loop

- The first expression is evaluated once, but the other two are evaluated with each iteration

Iterative Statements: Examples

- C++ differs from C in two ways:
 1. The control expression can also be Boolean
 2. The initial expression can include variable definitions (scope is from the definition to the end of the loop body)
- Java and C#
 - Differs from C++ in that the control expression must be Boolean

Iterative Statements: Examples

- Python

```
for loop_variable in object:
```

```
– loop body
```

```
[else:
```

```
– else clause]
```

- The object is often a range, which is either a list of values in brackets ([2, 4, 6]), or a call to the range function (range(5), which returns 0, 1, 2, 3, 4)
- The loop variable takes on the values specified in the given range, one for each iteration
- The else clause, which is optional, is executed if the loop terminates normally

Iterative Statements: Logically-Controlled Loops

- Repetition control is based on a Boolean expression
- Design issues:
 - Pretest or posttest?
 - Should the logically controlled loop be a special case of the counting loop statement or a separate statement?

Iterative Statements: Logically- Controlled Loops: Examples

- C and C++ have both pretest and posttest forms, in which the control expression can be arithmetic:

<code>while (ctrl_expr)</code>	<code>do</code>
<code>loop body</code>	<code>loop body</code>
	<code>while (ctrl_expr)</code>

- Java is like C and C++, except the control expression must be Boolean (and the body can only be entered at the beginning -- Java has no **goto**)

Iterative Statements: Logically- Controlled Loops: Examples

- Ada has a pretest version, but no posttest
- FORTRAN 95 has neither
- Perl and Ruby have two pretest logical loops, `while` and `until`. Perl also has two posttest loops

Iterative Statements: User-Located Loop Control Mechanisms

- Sometimes it is convenient for the programmers to decide a location for loop control (other than top or bottom of the loop)
- Simple design for single loops (e.g., `break`)
- Design issues for nested loops
 1. Should the conditional be part of the exit?
 2. Should control be transferable out of more than one loop?

Iterative Statements: User-Located Loop Control Mechanisms `break` and `continue`

- C, C++, Python, Ruby, and C# have unconditional unlabeled exits (`break`)
- Java and Perl have unconditional labeled exits (`break` in Java, `last` in Perl)
- C, C++, and Python have an unlabeled control statement, `continue`, that skips the remainder of the current iteration, but does not exit the loop
- Java and Perl have labeled versions of `continue`

Iterative Statements: Iteration Based on Data Structures

- Number of elements of in a data structure control loop iteration
- Control mechanism is a call to an *iterator* function that returns the next element in some chosen order, if there is one; else loop is terminate
- C's `for` can be used to build a user-defined iterator:

```
for (p=root; p!=NULL; traverse(p) ) {  
    }  
}
```

Iterative Statements: Iteration Based on Data Structures (continued)

PHP

- `current` points at one element of the array
- `next` moves `current` to the next element
- `reset` moves `current` to the first element

• Java

- For any collection that implements the `Iterator` interface
- `next` moves the pointer into the collection
- `hasNext` is a predicate
- `remove` deletes an element

- Perl has a built-in iterator for arrays and hashes, `foreach`

Iterative Statements: Iteration Based on Data Structures (continued)

- Java 5.0 (uses `for`, although it is called `foreach`)
 - For arrays and any other class that implements `Iterable` interface, e.g., `ArrayList`

```
for (String myElement : myList) { ... }
```

- C#'s `foreach` statement iterates on the elements of arrays and other collections:

```
Strings[] = strList = {"Bob", "Carol", "Ted"};  
foreach (Strings name in strList)  
    Console.WriteLine ("Name: {0}", name);
```

- The notation `{0}` indicates the position in the string to be displayed

Iterative Statements: Iteration Based on Data Structures (continued)

- Lua

- Lua has two forms of its iterative statement, one like Fortran's `DO`, and a more general form:

```
for variable_1 [, variable_2] in iterator (table) do
    ...
end
```

- The most commonly used iterators are `pairs` and `ipairs`

Unconditional Branching

- Transfers execution control to a specified place in the program
- Represented one of the most heated debates in 1960's and 1970's
- Major concern: Readability
- Some languages do not support `goto` statement (e.g., Java)
- C# offers `goto` statement (can be used in `switch` statements)
- Loop exit statements are restricted and somewhat camouflaged `goto`'s

Guarded Commands

- Designed by Dijkstra
- Purpose: to support a new programming methodology that supported verification (correctness) during development
- Basis for two linguistic mechanisms for concurrent programming (in CSP and Ada)
- Basic Idea: if the order of evaluation is not important, the program should not specify one

Selection Guarded Command

- **Form**

```
if <Boolean exp> -> <statement>
[] <Boolean exp> -> <statement>
...
[] <Boolean exp> -> <statement>
fi
```

- **Semantics:** when construct is reached,
 - Evaluate all Boolean expressions
 - If more than one are true, choose one non-deterministically
 - If none are true, it is a runtime error

Loop Guarded Command

- **Form**

do <Boolean> -> <statement>

[] <Boolean> -> <statement>

...

[] <Boolean> -> <statement>

od

- **Semantics: for each iteration**
 - Evaluate all Boolean expressions
 - If more than one are true, choose one non-deterministically; then start loop again
 - If none are true, exit loop

Guarded Commands: Rationale

- Connection between control statements and program verification is intimate
- Verification is impossible with `goto` statements
- Verification is possible with only selection and logical pretest loops
- Verification is relatively simple with only guarded commands

Conclusion

- Variety of statement-level structures
- Choice of control statements beyond selection and logical pretest loops is a trade-off between language size and writability
- Functional and logic programming languages are quite different control structures